Sl. No.	High Court	Jurisdiction States/Union Territories	Sanctioned Strength As on 16.3.2005	Vacancies
7.	Guwahati	Assam Arunachal Pradesh Manipur Meghalaya Mizoram Nagaland and Tripura	19	01
8.	Gujarat	Gujarat	42	08
9.	Himachal Pradesh	Himachal Pradesh	08	01
10.	Jammu & Kashmir	Jammu & Kashmir	14	04
11.	Jharkhand	Jharkhand	12	05
12.	Karnataka	Karnataka	40	05
13.	Kerala	Kerala and Lakshadweep Islands	29	01
14.	Madhya Pradesh	Madhya Pradesh	36	06
15.	Madras	Tamil Nadu and Pondicherry	42	17
16.	Orissa	Orissa	20	06
17.	Patna	Bihar	31	09
18.	Punjab and Haryana	Punjab, Haryana and U.T. of Chandigarh	40	12
19.	Rajasthan	Rajasthan	40	12
20.	Sikkim	Sikkim	03	01
21.	Uttaranchal	Uttaranchal	09	-
	TOTAL :		**668**	**140**

Basic facilities in judicial system

†2097. SHRI RAM JETHMALANI:
SHRI RAJ MOHINDER SINGH MAJITHA:

Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a number of cases seeking provision of basic facilities in the judicial system are pending in Supreme Court of India;

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(b) if so, the number of such cases till December, 2004;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Supreme Court had decided a number of such cases during the last five years;

(d) if so, the number of the cases decided so far; and

(e) the number of the decisions executed till December, 2004?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI K. VENKATAPATHY): (a) and (b) As per the information furnished by the Supreme Court, there are two main matters, *i.e.* W.P. (C) No. 437/2000 titled Delhi Bar Association *vs.* Union of India & Ors. with four connected matters and W.P. (C) No. 559/1994 titled R & D Upadhyaya *vs* State of A.P. & Ors. with six connected matters pending in the Registry. Besides the above, SLP (C) No.6928 of 1999 titled State of Uttar Pradesh & Ors *vs.* Jeet Singh Bisht & Anr. for providing infrastructure and other facilities for the functioning of the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission and District Forum is also pending in the Registry.

(c) and (d) As per the information available in the Registry of the Supreme Court, only one case *viz.* W.P. (C) No. 1022 of 1989 titled All India Judges Assn. & Others *Vs.* Union of India & Others has been decided on March 21, 2002 during the last five years.

(e) The information will be collected and laid on the Table of the House.

Directives issued by Supreme Court

2098. SHRI MANOJ BHATTACHARYA: Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has issued a set of directives to Government in the All India Judges Association case, 2002;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the number of directives implemented so far and the details thereof;

(d) the number of directives not fulfilled and the reasons therefor; and

(e) by when the remaining directives would be implemented?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI K. VENKATAPATHY): (a) to (e) The Supreme Court of India delivered